CS70: Lecture 20.

Expectation; Distributions; Independence

- 1. Expectation (Cont'd)
- 2. Important Distributions
- 3. Independence

Calculating E[g(X)]

Let Y = g(X). Assume that we know the distribution of X.

We want to calculate E[Y].

Method 1: We calculate the distribution of Y:

 $Pr[Y = y] = Pr[X \in g^{-1}(y)]$ where $g^{-1}(y) = \{x \in \Re : g(x) = y\}.$

This is typically rather tedious!

Method 2: We use the following result.

Theorem:

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{x} g(x) \Pr[X = x].$$

Proof:

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{\omega} g(X(\omega)) Pr[\omega] = \sum_{x} \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} g(X(\omega)) Pr[\omega]$$

=
$$\sum_{x} \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} g(x) Pr[\omega] = \sum_{x} g(x) \sum_{\omega \in X^{-1}(x)} Pr[\omega]$$

=
$$\sum_{x} g(x) Pr[X = x].$$

An Example

Let *X* be uniform in $\{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Let also $g(X) = X^2$. Then (method 2)

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{x=-2}^{3} x^{2} \frac{1}{6}$$

= {4+1+0+1+4+9} $\frac{1}{6} = \frac{19}{6}$.

Method 1 - We find the distribution of $Y = X^2$:

$$Y = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{2}{6} \\ 1, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{2}{6} \\ 0, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{1}{6} \\ 9, & \text{w.p.} \ \frac{1}{6}. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$E[Y] = 4\frac{2}{6} + 1\frac{2}{6} + 0\frac{1}{6} + 9\frac{1}{6} = \frac{19}{6}.$$

Calculating E[g(X, Y, Z)]

We have seen that $E[g(X)] = \sum_{x} g(x) Pr[X = x]$.

Using a similar derivation, one can show that

$$E[g(X,Y,Z)] = \sum_{x,y,z} g(x,y,z) \Pr[X=x, Y=y, Z=z].$$

An Example. Let *X*, *Y* be as shown below:



 $E[\cos(2\pi X + \pi Y)] = 0.1\cos(0) + 0.4\cos(2\pi) + 0.2\cos(\pi) + 0.3\cos(3\pi)$ = 0.1 \times 1 + 0.4 \times 1 + 0.2 \times (-1) + 0.3 \times (-1) = 0.

Best Guess: Least Squares

If you only know the distribution of X, it seems that E[X] is a 'good guess' for X.

The following result makes that idea precise.

Theorem

The value of *a* that minimizes $E[(X - a)^2]$ is a = E[X].

Proof:

$$\begin{split} E[(X-a)^2] &= E[(X-E[X]+E[X]-a)^2] \\ &= E[(X-E[X])^2+2(X-E[X])(E[X]-a)+(E[X]-a)^2] \\ &= E[(X-E[X])^2]+2(E[X]-a)E[X-E[X]]+(E[X]-a)^2 \\ &= E[(X-E[X])^2]+0+(E[X]-a)^2 \\ &\geq E[(X-E[X])^2]. \end{split}$$

Best Guess: Least Absolute Deviation

Thus E[X] minimizes $E[(X - a)^2]$. It must be noted that the measure of the 'quality of the approximation' matters. The following result illustrates that point.

Theorem

The value of *a* that minimizes E[|X - a|] is the *median* of *X*.

The median v of X is any real number such that

$$\Pr[X \le v] = \Pr[X \ge v]$$

Proof: $g(a) := E[|X - a|] = \sum_{x \le a} (a - x) Pr[X = x] + \sum_{x \ge a} (x - a) Pr[X = x].$ Thus, if $0 < \varepsilon << 1$, $g(a + \varepsilon) = g(a) + \varepsilon Pr[X \le a] - \varepsilon Pr[X \ge a].$ Hence, changing *a* cannot reduce g(a) only if $Pr[X \le a] = Pr[X \ge a].$

Best Guess: Illustration



Best Guess: Another Illustration



Center of Mass

The expected value has a *center of mass* interpretation:



Monotonicity

Definition

Let *X*, *Y* be two random variables on Ω . We write $X \leq Y$ if $X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, and similarly for $X \geq Y$ and $X \geq a$ for some constant *a*.

Facts

(a) If $X \ge 0$, then $E[X] \ge 0$. (b) If $X \le Y$, then $E[X] \le E[Y]$. **Proof**

(a) If $X \ge 0$, every value *a* of *X* is nonnegative. Hence,

$$E[X] = \sum_{a} aPr[X = a] \ge 0.$$

(b)
$$X \leq Y \Rightarrow Y - X \geq 0 \Rightarrow E[Y] - E[X] = E[Y - X] \geq 0.$$

Example:

$$B = \cup_m A_m \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_B(\omega) \leq \sum_m \mathbf{1}_{A_m}(\omega) \Rightarrow \Pr[\cup_m A_m] \leq \sum_m \Pr[A_m].$$

Uniform Distribution

Roll a six-sided balanced die. Let X be the number of pips (dots). Then X is equally likely to take any of the values $\{1,2,\ldots,6\}$. We say that X is *uniformly distributed* in $\{1,2,\ldots,6\}$.

More generally, we say that X is uniformly distributed in $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ if Pr[X = m] = 1/n for m = 1, 2, ..., n. In that case,

$$E[X] = \sum_{m=1}^{n} mPr[X = m] = \sum_{m=1}^{n} m \times \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$$

Geometric Distribution

Let's flip a coin with Pr[H] = p until we get H.



For instance:

$$\omega_1 = H$$
, or
 $\omega_2 = T H$, or
 $\omega_3 = T T H$, or
 $\omega_n = T T T T \cdots T H$

Note that $\Omega = \{\omega_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}.$

Let *X* be the number of flips until the first *H*. Then, $X(\omega_n) = n$. Also,

$$Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1.$$

Geometric Distribution

$$Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1.$$



Geometric Distribution

$$Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X_n] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{n-1} p = p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{n-1} = p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^n.$$

Now, if |a| < 1, then $S := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n = \frac{1}{1-a}$. Indeed,

$$S = 1 + a + a^{2} + a^{3} + \cdots$$

$$aS = a + a^{2} + a^{3} + a^{4} + \cdots$$

$$(1 - a)S = 1 + a - a + a^{2} - a^{2} + \cdots = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X_n] = p \ \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = 1.$$

Geometric Distribution: Expectation

$$X =_D G(p)$$
, i.e., $Pr[X = n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}p, n \ge 1$.

One has

$$E[X] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nPr[X = n] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(1-p)^{n-1}p.$$

Thus,

$$E[X] = p + 2(1-p)p + 3(1-p)^2p + 4(1-p)^3p + \cdots$$

(1-p)E[X] = (1-p)p + 2(1-p)^2p + 3(1-p)^3p + \cdots
pE[X] = p + (1-p)p + (1-p)^2p + (1-p)^3p + \cdots
by subtracting the previous two identities
=
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Pr[X = n] = 1.$$

Hence,

$$E[X]=\frac{1}{p}.$$

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless

Let *X* be G(p). Then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$Pr[X > n] = Pr[$$
 first *n* flips are $T] = (1 - p)^n$.

Theorem

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$

Proof:

$$Pr[X > n+m|X > n] = \frac{Pr[X > n+m \text{ and } X > n]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{Pr[X > n+m]}{Pr[X > n]}$$
$$= \frac{(1-p)^{n+m}}{(1-p)^n} = (1-p)^m$$
$$= Pr[X > m].$$

Geometric Distribution: Memoryless - Interpretation

$$Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[X > m], m, n \ge 0.$$



Pr[X > n + m | X > n] = Pr[A|B] = Pr[A] = Pr[X > m].

The coin is memoryless, therefore, so is X.

Geometric Distribution: Yet another look

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

[See later for a proof.]

If X = G(p), then $Pr[X \ge i] = Pr[X > i - 1] = (1 - p)^{i-1}$. Hence,

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^i = \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{1}{p}.$$

Expected Value of Integer RV

Theorem: For a r.v. X that takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, one has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

Proof: One has

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \times \Pr[X = i]$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \{\Pr[X \ge i] - \Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times \Pr[X \ge i] - i \times \Pr[X \ge i + 1]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i \times \Pr[X \ge i] - (i - 1) \times \Pr[X \ge i]\}$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pr[X \ge i].$$

Poisson

Experiment: flip a coin *n* times. The coin is such that $Pr[H] = \lambda/n$. Random Variable: *X* - number of heads. Thus, $X = B(n, \lambda/n)$. **Poisson Distribution** is distribution of *X* "for large *n*."



Poisson

Experiment: flip a coin *n* times. The coin is such that $Pr[H] = \lambda/n$. Random Variable: *X* - number of heads. Thus, $X = B(n, \lambda/n)$. **Poisson Distribution** is distribution of *X* "for large *n*." We expect $X \ll n$. For $m \ll n$ one has

$$Pr[X = m] = {\binom{n}{m}} p^m (1-p)^{n-m}, \text{ with } p = \lambda/n$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^m \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-m}$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)}{n^m} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-m}$$

$$\approx^{(1)} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-m} \approx^{(2)} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \approx \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

For (1) we used $m \ll n$; for (2) we used $(1 - a/n)^n \approx e^{-a}$.

Poisson Distribution: Definition and Mean

Definition Poisson Distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$

$$X = P(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow Pr[X = m] = \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda}, m \ge 0.$$

Fact: $E[X] = \lambda$.

Proof:

$$E[X] = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \times \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{(m-1)!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{m+1}}{m!} = e^{-\lambda} \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda e^{\lambda} = \lambda.$$

Simeon Poisson

The Poisson distribution is named after:



Independent Random Variables.

Definition: Independence

The random variables X and Y are independent if and only if

Pr[Y = b|X = a] = Pr[Y = b], for all *a* and *b*.

Fact:

X, Y are independent if and only if

Pr[X = a, Y = b] = Pr[X = a]Pr[Y = b], for all *a* and *b*.

Obvious.

Independence: Examples

Example 1

Roll two die. X, Y = number of pips on the two dice. X, Y are independent.

Indeed: $Pr[X = a, Y = b] = \frac{1}{36}$, $Pr[X = a] = Pr[Y = b] = \frac{1}{6}$.

Example 2

Roll two die. X = total number of pips, Y = number of pips on die 1 minus number on die 2. X and Y are not independent.

Indeed:
$$Pr[X = 12, Y = 1] = 0 \neq Pr[X = 12]Pr[Y = 1] > 0.$$

Example 3

Flip a fair coin five times, X = number of Hs in first three flips, Y = number of Hs in last two flips. X and Y are independent. Indeed:

$$Pr[X = a, Y = b] = {3 \choose a} {2 \choose b} 2^{-5} = {3 \choose a} 2^{-3} \times {2 \choose b} 2^{-2} = Pr[X = a] Pr[Y = b].$$

A useful observation about independence Theorem

X and Y are independent if and only if

 $Pr[X \in A, Y \in B] = Pr[X \in A]Pr[Y \in B]$ for all $A, B \subset \mathfrak{R}$.

Proof:

If (\Leftarrow): Choose $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{b\}$.

This shows that Pr[X = a, Y = b] = Pr[X = a]Pr[Y = b]. Only if (\Rightarrow):

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr[X \in A, Y \in B] \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} \Pr[X = a, Y = b] = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} \Pr[X = a] \Pr[Y = b] \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \left[\sum_{b \in B} \Pr[X = a] \Pr[Y = b] \right] = \sum_{a \in A} \Pr[X = a] \left[\sum_{b \in B} \Pr[Y = b] \right] \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \Pr[X = a] \Pr[Y \in B] = \Pr[X \in A] \Pr[Y \in B]. \end{aligned}$$

Functions of Independent random Variables

Theorem Functions of independent RVs are independent Let X, Y be independent RV. Then

f(X) and g(Y) are independent, for all $f(\cdot), g(\cdot)$.

Proof:

Recall the definition of inverse image:

$$h(z) \in C \Leftrightarrow z \in h^{-1}(C) := \{z \mid h(z) \in C\}.$$
 (1)

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr[f(X) \in A, g(Y) \in B] \\ &= \Pr[X \in f^{-1}(A), Y \in g^{-1}(B)], \text{ by } (1) \\ &= \Pr[X \in f^{-1}(A)]\Pr[Y \in g^{-1}(B)], \text{ since } X, Y \text{ ind.} \\ &= \Pr[f(X) \in A]\Pr[g(Y) \in B], \text{ by } (1). \end{aligned}$$

Mean of product of independent RV

Theorem

Let X, Y be independent RVs. Then

E[XY] = E[X]E[Y].

Proof:

Recall that $E[g(X, Y)] = \sum_{x,y} g(x, y) Pr[X = x, Y = y]$. Hence,

$$E[XY] = \sum_{x,y} xyPr[X = x, Y = y] = \sum_{x,y} xyPr[X = x]Pr[Y = y], \text{ by ind.}$$

$$= \sum_{x} [\sum_{y} xyPr[X = x]Pr[Y = y]] = \sum_{x} [xPr[X = x](\sum_{y} yPr[Y = y])]$$

$$= \sum_{x} [xPr[X = x]E[Y]] = E[X]E[Y].$$

Examples

(1) Assume that X, Y, Z are (pairwise) independent, with E[X] = E[Y] = E[Z] = 0 and $E[X^2] = E[Y^2] = E[Z^2] = 1$. Then

$$E[(X+2Y+3Z)^{2}] = E[X^{2}+4Y^{2}+9Z^{2}+4XY+12YZ+6XZ]$$

= 1+4+9+4×0+12×0+6×0
= 14.

(2) Let X, Y be independent and U[1, 2, ..., n]. Then

$$E[(X - Y)^{2}] = E[X^{2} + Y^{2} - 2XY] = 2E[X^{2}] - 2E[X]^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1 + 3n + 2n^{2}}{3} - \frac{(n+1)^{2}}{2}.$$

Mutually Independent Random Variables

Definition

X, Y, Z are mutually independent if

$$Pr[X = x, Y = y, Z = z] = Pr[X = x]Pr[Y = y]Pr[Z = z], \text{ for all } x, y, z.$$

Theorem

The events A, B, C, ... are pairwise (resp. mutually) independent iff the random variables $1_A, 1_B, 1_C, ...$ are pairwise (resp. mutually) independent.

Proof:

$$Pr[1_A = 1, 1_B = 1, 1_C = 1] = Pr[A \cap B \cap C], \dots$$

Functions of pairwise independent RVs

If X, Y, Z are pairwise independent, but not mutually independent, it may be that

f(X) and g(Y,Z) are not independent.

Example 1: Flip two fair coins, $X = 1\{\text{coin 1 is } H\}, Y = 1\{\text{coin 2 is } H\}, Z = X \oplus Y$. Then, X, Y, Z are pairwise independent. Let $g(Y, Z) = Y \oplus Z$. Then g(Y, Z) = X is not independent of X.

Example 2: Let *A*, *B*, *C* be pairwise but not mutually independent in a way that *A* and $B \cap C$ are not independent. Let $X = 1_A$, $Y = 1_B$, $Z = 1_C$. Choose f(X) = X, g(Y, Z) = YZ.

Functions of mutually independent RVs

One has the following result:

Theorem

Functions of disjoint collections of mutually independent random variables are mutually independent.

Example:

Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be mutually independent. Then,

 $Y_1 := X_1 X_2 (X_3 + X_4)^2$, $Y_2 := \max\{X_5, X_6\} - \min\{X_7, X_8\}$, $Y_3 := X_9 \cos(X_{10} + X_{11})$ are mutually independent.

Proof:

Let $B_1 := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mid x_1 x_2 (x_3 + x_4)^2 \in A_1\}$. Similarly for B_2, B_3 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr[Y_1 \in A_1, Y_2 \in A_2, Y_3 \in A_3] \\ &= \Pr[(X_1, \dots, X_4) \in B_1, (X_5, \dots, X_8) \in B_2, (X_9, \dots, X_{11}) \in B_3] \\ &= \Pr[(X_1, \dots, X_4) \in B_1] \Pr[(X_5, \dots, X_8) \in B_2] \Pr[(X_9, \dots, X_{11}) \in B_3] \\ &= \Pr[Y_1 \in A_1] \Pr[Y_2 \in A_2] \Pr[Y_3 \in A_3] \end{aligned}$$

Operations on Mutually Independent Events

Theorem

Operations on disjoint collections of mutually independent events produce mutually independent events.

For instance, if A, B, C, D, E are mutually independent, then $A \Delta B, C \setminus D, \overline{E}$ are mutually independent.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{1}_{A \triangle B} = f(\mathbf{1}_A, \mathbf{1}_B) \text{ where } \\ & f(0,0) = 0, f(1,0) = 1, f(0,1) = 1, f(1,1) = 0 \\ &\mathbf{1}_{C \setminus D} = g(\mathbf{1}_C, \mathbf{1}_D) \text{ where } \\ & g(0,0) = 0, g(1,0) = 1, g(0,1) = 0, g(1,1) = 0 \\ &\mathbf{1}_{\bar{E}} = h(\mathbf{1}_E) \text{ where } \\ & h(0) = 1 \text{ and } h(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $1_{A \Delta B}$, $1_{C \setminus D}$, $1_{\overline{E}}$ are functions of mutually independent RVs. Thus, those RVs are mutually independent. Consequently, the events of which they are indicators are mutually independent.

Product of mutually independent RVs

Theorem

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be mutually independent RVs. Then,

$$E[X_1X_2\cdots X_n]=E[X_1]E[X_2]\cdots E[X_n].$$

Proof:

Assume that the result is true for *n*. (It is true for n = 2.) Then, with $Y = X_1 \cdots X_n$, one has

$$E[X_1 \cdots X_n X_{n+1}] = E[YX_{n+1}],$$

= $E[Y]E[X_{n+1}],$
because Y, X_{n+1} are independent
= $E[X_1] \cdots E[X_n]E[X_{n+1}].$

Summary.

Expectation; Distributions; Independence

Expectation:

- $\blacktriangleright E[X] := \sum_a a Pr[X = a].$
- Expectation is Linear.

Distributions:

•
$$G(p): E[X] = 1/p;$$

- $\blacktriangleright B(n,p): E[X] = np;$
- $\blacktriangleright P(\lambda): E[X] = \lambda$

Independence:

- ► X, Y independent $\Leftrightarrow Pr[X \in A, Y \in B] = Pr[X \in A]Pr[Y \in B]$
- Then, f(X), g(Y) are independent and E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]
- Mutual independence