Due: Friday, 02/19 at 10:00 PM Grace period until Friday, 02/19 at 11:59 PM

## Sundry

Before you start writing your final homework submission, state briefly how you worked on it. Who else did you work with? List names and email addresses. (In case of homework party, you can just describe the group.)

#### 1 Count and Prove

- (a) Over 1000 students organized to celebrate running water and electricity. To count the exact number of students celebrating, the chief organizer lined the students up in columns of different length. If the students are arranged in columns of 3, 5, and 7, then 2, 3, and 4 people are left out, respectively. What is the minimum number of students present? Solve it with Chinese Remainder Theorem.
- (b) Prove that for  $n \ge 1$ , if  $935 = 5 \times 11 \times 17$  divides  $n^{80} 1$ , then 5, 11, and 17 do not divide *n*.

### 2 Advanced Chinese Remainder Theorem Constructions

In this question we will see some very interesting constructions that we can pull off with the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

(a) (Sparsity of prime powers) Prove that for any positive integer k, there exists k consecutive positive integers such that none of them are prime powers.

A prime power is a number that can be written as  $p^i$  for some prime p and some positive integer i. So,  $9 = 3^2$  is a prime power, and so is  $8 = 2^3$ .  $42 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$  is not a prime power.

*Hint: Remember, this is a Chinese Remainder Theorem problem.* 

(b) (Divisibility of polynomial) Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a function defined as  $f(x) = x^3 + 4x + 1$ . Prove that for any positive integer k, there exists a t such that f(t) has k distinct prime divisors.

This is a tricky problem, so here is a little bit of a framework for you. Feel free to approach the problem a completely different way!

Define a *special prime* as a prime p that divides f(x) for some x. First prove that the set of special primes S is infinite. This is similar to the proof that the set of primes is infinite.

Upon doing so, finish the proof off with Chinese Remainder Theorem.

#### 3 FLT Converse

Recall that the FLT states that, given a prime n,  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for all  $1 \le a \le n-1$ . Note that it says nothing about when n is composite.

Can the FLT condition  $(a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \mod n)$  hold for some or even all *a* if *n* is composite? This problem will investigate both possibilities. It turns out that unlike in the prime case, we need to restrict ourselves to looking at *a* that are relatively prime to *n*. (Note that if *n* is prime, then every a < n is relatively prime to *n*). Because of this restriction, let's define

$$S(n) = \{i : 1 \le i \le n, \gcd(n, i) = 1\},\$$

so |S| is the total number of possible choices for a. Note that  $|S| = \phi(n)$  as well!

- (a) Prove that for every *a* and *n* that are not relatively prime, FLT condition fails. In other words, for every *a* and *n* such that  $gcd(n,a) \neq 1$ , we have  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .
- (b) Prove that the FLT condition fails for most choices of a and n. More precisely, show that if we can find a single a ∈ S(n) such that a<sup>n-1</sup> ≠ 1 (mod n), we can find at least |S(n)|/2 such a. (Hint: You're almost there if you can show that the set of numbers that fail the FLT condition is at least as large as the set of numbers that pass it. A clever bijection may be useful to compare set sizes.)

The above tells us that if a composite number fails the FLT condition for even one number relatively prime to it, then it fails the condition for most numbers relatively prime to it. However, it doesn't rule out the possibility that some composite number *n* satisifes the FLT condition entirely: *for all a* relatively prime to *n*,  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \mod n$ . It turns out such numbers do exist, but they were found through trial-and-error! We will prove one of the conditions on *n* that make it easy to verify the existence of these numbers.

- (c) First, show that if  $a \equiv b \mod m_1$  and  $a \equiv b \mod m_2$ , with  $gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_1 m_2}$ .
- (d) Let  $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$  where  $p_i$  are distinct primes and  $p_i 1 | n 1$  for all *i*. Show that  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for all  $a \in S(n)$
- (e) Verify that for all *a* coprime with 561,  $a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ .
- 4 Simplifying Some "Little" Exponents

For the following problems, you must both calculate the answers and show your work.

```
(a) What is 7^{3,000,000,000} \mod 41?
```

- (b) What is  $2^{2017} \mod 11$ ?
- (c) What is  $2^{(5^{2017})} \mod 11$ ?

#### 5 Euler's Totient Theorem

Euler's Totient Theorem states that, if *n* and *a* are coprime,

 $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ 

where  $\phi(n)$  (known as Euler's Totient Function) is the number of positive integers less than or equal to *n* which are coprime to *n* (including 1).

- (a) Let the numbers less than *n* which are coprime to *n* be  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\phi(n)}$ . Argue that  $am_1, am_2, \dots, am_{\phi(n)}$  is a permutation of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\phi(n)}$ . In other words, prove that  $f : \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\phi(n)}\} \rightarrow \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\phi(n)}\}$  is a bijection where  $f(x) := ax \pmod{n}$ .
- (b) Prove Euler's Theorem. (Hint: Recall the FLT proof)

## 6 RSA with Just One Prime

Given the message  $x \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$  and N = pq, where *p* and *q* are prime numbers, conventional RSA encrypts *x* with  $y = E(x) \equiv x^e \pmod{N}$ . The decryption is done by  $D(y) \equiv y^d \pmod{N}$ , where *d* is the inverse of  $e \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$ .

Alice is trying to send a message to Bob, and as usual, Eve is trying to decipher what the message is. One day, Bob gets lazy and tells Alice that he will now use N = p, where p is a 1024-bit prime number, as part of his public key. He tells Alice that it's okay, since Eve will have to try out  $2^{1024}$  combinations to guess x. It is very likely that Eve will not find out the secret message in a reasonable amount of time! In this problem, we will see whether Bob is right or wrong. Assume that Eve has found out about this new setup and that she knows the public key.

Similar to the original method, for any message  $x \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ ,  $E(x) \equiv x^e \pmod{p}$ , and  $D(y) \equiv y^d \pmod{p}$ . Choose *e* such that it is coprime with p-1, and choose  $d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$ .

- (a) Prove that the message x is recovered after it goes through your new encryption and decryption functions, E(x) and D(y).
- (b) Can Eve compute *d* in the decryption function? If so, by what algorithm and approximately how many iterations does it take for it to terminate?
- (c) Given part (b), how would Eve recover *x* and what algorithm would she use? Approximately how many iterations does it take to terminate?
- (d) Based on the previous parts, can Eve recover the original message in a reasonable amount of time? Explain.

# 7 RSA with Three Primes

Show how you can modify the RSA encryption method to work with three primes instead of two primes (i.e. N = pqr where p,q,r are all prime), and prove the scheme you come up with works in the sense that  $D(E(x)) \equiv x \pmod{N}$ .